

REMARKS

This amendment responds to the office action dated October 9, 2007.

The applicant has canceled non-elected claims 4-113.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The applicant has amended claim 1 to correct the lack of antecedent basis for the term "predicted displayed luminance value." The applicant has also reworded the claim for clarity. The applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekiya et al., U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0006949. Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite the limitation of "modifying said image to alternatively increase or decrease said at least one pixel's luminance output by overdriving at least one pixel of said image, for a current frame, to a current value that is selected based upon: (i) at least one predicted displayed luminance value of said pixel in a respective ones of at least one subsequent frame of said image; and (ii) at least one previously displayed luminance value of said pixel in respective ones of at least one previous frame of said image."

Respectfully submitted,



Kurt Rohlf
Reg. No. 54,405
Tel No.: (503) 227-5631